Robust network design and its application to slicing

Julien Lesca

Agenda:

Senior researcher, Network OR, Datacom Dijkstra Lab

Slicing



Joint work with:

Paolo Medagliani, Jérémie Leguay, Sebastien Martin, CaiShengming, Zengfeng, Yacine Al Najjar, Walid Ben Ameur

Robust Design

	100				
	•			•	
		 N 	•	***	
			* * ***.		
		•			•
1. C		1		A 1. 1	
			13 14 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1			A State State	
			1 5 24 -	17 P. 1 K	
1 a				1	
	- Con	1 C	4.7	1 - 2 - 1 - 1	
		10.0			
	1.1	1. 1. 1.	. to?	297. La 1	
them to the state of the	5 5 5 7	1.5	1 P	LTIM Sec.	
1	17	1.1.1		A	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 . C.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
and and the second s	1. 1. 1. 1.	1 6 . 24			
	131 2 2	5. 5. 1. 5	a		
	2 7.42 42				
	1.1.1				
	10 miles				
77	1001	-			
		I			
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Ster.	•			
a the Tay of the					
and a start with the start way					
and the second se	1. 2.				
A STATE OF STATES	2020				
	24.11			1.2	
1	P			A.F. Santa	
	25.500			attended and a set	
	10 Mar 11		•	1	
		1225		14247 .T	
	P Asher	· ·		SA.40.0	
1. Car	XXII its for			Sales and the second	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1000			and the state of t	
where a start of the start of t	- 12 H G	N	1 China to	and a state of the	
		2 2 2 2	1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		
A 14165 4 73 88	1 1 2 2	States 3	1000000	and the second second	
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	200 A	1.1.1	1000		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1. 1. 1	A State of the	1 . The St. 6	Part of the second	
		5 50 50		Sec. 14 7-1	
		1 15 8		and the second second	
		and so a	1 and		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	24-14-1-1		3 57 K 12		
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	19 E E E E E E	4-120	2 - A ta		
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	States as 1	5- 5925010	S 8 6 .	
14 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	20.04	1949 A.	152. 4	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 200 423	A 53 54	and the second	1 8 34	
F	6.5. 2.1		1 A		
	31 12 9	15.7.8	17		
1 M C		5 2 12	1.1.1	1.000	
	2 2	26 . 10			
1	• 1		1. 1. 1		I III ANAVEI
			21		HUAWEI
	322				

Results

Algorithm

Network Slicing Motivation: 5G and beyond



Slices = on-demand and end-to-end isolated networks



Network Slicing Architecture





Towards an Advanced Automation Slicing Engine



Challenge #1: Allocate resources to meet SLA Requirements

Isolation between slices

The traffic in one slice must not interfere with the performance of other slices

Bandwidth reservation

Bandwidth reservations in FlexE: capacity slots are allocated with a granularity of 5GB (the 5 first slots are of 1GB)



Slicing technologies: several technologies can be used to ensure isolation inside the data plane (FlexE, channelized sub-interfaces, HQoS)



5

Need to solve joint routing and slot allocation problems ⇒ NP-hard problems

Challenge #2: Consider various Traffic Models - "Pipes" / "Hoses"



Per-Service (« Pipe » model with OD tunnels)

Per-Access Point ("VPN/Hose" model)

Aggregated « **Pipe** » **model** (Maximum traffic over the day)





« VPN/Hose » model (Access bandwidth for sites)

	Hose
А	25
В	21
С	11



Focus of this talk

Benefits:

Sometimes, traffic information is only available per access site. It is also easier to define by users and it "compresses" inputs. Users don't want to over-specify.

Main challenge: guarantee "non-blocking" slices (ensure that 100% of traffic matrices can be routed) ⇒ we need to solve a robust network design problem Note: Traditional business VPNs do not have such guarantee today.



Possible specifications of traffic with Hoses



Traffic can go in all directions ⇒ costly in terms of reservations Some directions may not be necessary ⇒ specify them to better utilize capacity Applications care about end-to-end QoS ⇒ add routing constraints to directions



Challenge #3: Optimize at large-scale

Inputs

- Service requirements:
 - Bandwidth (Hose, Pipes)
 - SLA (Ex: max E2E latency)
 - Protection (Ex: 1-link failures)
- Physical topology
- Current network conditions



Optimization intents

- Maximize traffic acceptance
- Minimize the reserved capacity
- Load balance link utilization
- ...

Optimization challenges

- Handle large-scale networks (50k nodes in IPRAN)
- Get good solutions in a fast manner



Optimization tools

 Use advanced math-heuristics based on combinatorial optimization to solve difficult path computation and resource allocation problems



Over the full life-cycle ⇒ planning, adjustment, optimization

Robust network design:

Minimum cost problem

- Input: cost vector $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^E$
- Decisions: capacities x ∈ ℝ^E₊ such that there is a routing scheme that can route all demands d ∈ 𝔇 without exceeding the capacities (i.e. with a congestion ≤ 1), we denote the set of feasible capacities:
 - X_{dyn} for a dynamic routing
 - X_{sta} for a static routing
- The minimum costs problems for static and dynamic routing are:

•
$$lin_{dyn} = \min_{x \in X_{dyn}} \sum_{e \in E} \lambda_e x_e$$

•
$$lin_{sta} = \min_{x \in X_{sta}} \sum_{e \in E} \lambda_e x_e$$



Robust network design variants: Example for Dynamic routing Minimum cost problem

- Input costs of 1 on all edges: $\lambda_{e_1} = \lambda_{e_2} = 1$, $\lambda_{e_3} = \lambda_{e_4} = 0$
- \bullet Input demand polytope ${\mathscr D}$

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{h_1} + d_{h_2} \leq 1 \\ &d_{h_3} \leq 1 \\ &d_h \geq 0, \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

•
$$lin_{dyn}(\mathscr{D}) = 2$$





Robust network design variants: Example for Static routing Minimum cost problem

- Input costs of 1 on all edges:
 - $\lambda_{e_1} = \lambda_{e_2} = 1, \ \lambda_{e_3} = \lambda_{e_4} = 0$
- $\bullet\,$ Input demand polytope ${\mathscr D}$

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{h_1} + d_{h_2} \leq 1 \\ & d_{h_3} \leq 1 \\ & d_h \geq 0, \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \end{aligned}$$

 The optimal static solution is to route half the demand h₃ on the path (e₁, e₃) and the other half on the path (e₂, e₄).

•
$$lin_{sta}(\mathscr{D}) = 3$$





Robust network design: Complexity results

Dynamic routing

- Splittable case (Fractional routing)
 - CoNP-hard for directed graph (Hardness of Robust Network Design, Chekuri, Shepherd, Oriolo and Scutellá, 2007)

Static routing

- Splittable case (Fractional routing)
 - Polynomial time solvable (Routing of Uncertain Traffic Demands, Ben-Ameur and Kerivin, 2005)
- Unsplittable case (Single path routing)
 - Without capacity: polynomial time solvable (*The VPN Conjecture Is True, Goyal, Olver and Sheperd, 2013*)
 - With capacity: NP-hard (*Provisioning a Virtual Private Network: A Network Design Problem for Multicommodity Flow, Gupta, Kleinberg, Kumar, Rastogi and Yener, 2001*)



Robust slicing model

- Graph G = (V, E)
- Access points $Q \subseteq V$
- Ingress/egress bandwidth m_v^{in} , m_v^{out} for each access point v
- Convergence ratio μ_e for each edge $e \in E$
- Bandwidth c_e of edge $e \in E$
- Size configuration s_i^e on edge $e \in E$
- Demands
 - \mathcal{H} : pairs of access points able to communicate
 - $\mathcal{D}:$ possible demands for \mathcal{H}
 - Subset of $\{d \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{H}} \colon \forall v \in V, \sum_{(v,u) \in \mathcal{H}} d_{(v,u)} \leq v \in V\}$

$\min \sum_{e \in E} \sum_{i} \lambda^{e} s_{i}^{e} x_{i}^{e}$	
$\sum_{p \in P_h} \varphi_h^p \geq 1, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}$	Flow constraint
$\mu_e \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{p \in P_h: e \in p} d_h \varphi_h^p \leq \sum_i s_i^e x_i^e , \forall e$	$\in E, \forall d \in \mathcal{D}$ Slot reservation constraint
$d_{h}^{*} \times \sum_{p \in P_{h}: e \in p} \varphi_{h}^{p} \leq \sum_{i} s_{i}^{e} x_{i}^{e} , \forall e \in \mathcal{F}_{h}^{e}$	$E, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}$
$\left(\sum_{i} s_{i}^{e} x_{i}^{e} \leq c_{e}, \forall e \in E\right)$	Capacity constraints
$x_{i-1}^e \ge x_i^e, \forall e \in E, \forall i$	

<u>Remark</u>: extended formulation with exponential number of paths and infinite demand constraints

$$d_h^* = \operatorname{argm} a x_{d \in \mathcal{D}} d_h$$





Illustrating exemple for the capacity design



H_e: set of demands that traverse edge *e*

 $\max \sum_{h \in H_e} d_h$ $\left(\sum_{\substack{(q,t)\in\mathcal{H}\\(s,q)\in\mathcal{H}}} d_{(q,t)} \le m_q^{out}, q \in Q\right)$

Capacity for edge (A, D)







Results for IPRAN networks

Network topologies

- Small: 90 nodes, 200 links, 30 hoses
- Middle: 5.5 nodes, 11k links, 100 hoses
- Large: 55k nodes, 120k links, 100 hoses (approximatively 10000 demands)

Instances with FlexE, Channelized interfaces and mixed.

Benchmarks

- 1. IGP shortest paths with worst case traffic in every directions (IGP)
- 2. IGP shortest paths with optimal reservations using the traffic polytope (IGP-Hose)

Time limits

- Small: 30s
- Middle & Large: 600s



Instance

Gap to IGP Gap to IGP-Hose



Conclusions

Robust network slicing

 Slicing with simplified traffic information (hoses) calls for advanced algorithms to guarantee TMs can be routed

Future challenges

> Data-driven approaches

» Optimize reservations based on traffic predictions (dimensioning of hoses, identify relevant directions)

> Advanced scenarios

- » Multi-domain network slicing (different technologies & granularities)
- » Hierarchical network slicing

Joint work with:

Paolo Medagliani, Jérémie Leguay, Sebastien Martin, Caishengming, Zengfeng, Yacine Al Najjar, Walid Ben Ameur

Thanks

Julien Lesca

Senior researcher, Network OR, Datacom Dijkstra Lab





Column & Constraint generation

Pricing problem

 \Box Variables φ_h^p

- \square α_h , β_d^e and $\gamma_{d,h}^e$ simplex multipliers of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd constraints
- □ For any $h \in H$, find path $p^* \in P_h$ which minimizes $\sum_{e \in p^*} [\gamma_h^e + \mu_e \sum_{d \in D'} \beta_d^e]$
 - Compute shortest path on *G* where the cost on edge *e* is $[\gamma_h^e + \mu_e \sum_{d \in D'} \beta_d^e]$
 - If $\sum_{e \in p^*} [\gamma_h^e + \mu_e \sum_{d \in D'} \beta_d^e] \le \alpha_h$ holds then add variable $\varphi_h^{p^*}$

Cutting problem

 $\Box \text{ Constraints } \mu_e \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{p \in P_h: e \in p} d_h \varphi_h^p \leq \sum_i s_i^e x_i^e$

□ Solve the following linear programs (\mathcal{D} is a set of linear constraints) $x_{d\in\mathcal{D}}\sum_{k=1}^{n}d_{k}$

$$\sum_{\in H} d_h \sum_{p \in P_h: e \in p} \varphi_h^p$$

 $\Box \text{ If } \mu_e OPT_{LP} \leq \sum_i s_i^e x_i^e \text{ then add constraint } \mu_e \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{p \in P_h: e \in p} d_h^* \varphi_h^p \leq \sum_i s_i^e x_i^e$

where
$$d^* = \operatorname{argm} ax_{d \in D} \sum_{h \in H} d_h \sum_{p \in P_h: e \in p} \varphi_h^p$$



Results for IPRAN networks



Network topology

5500 nodes 12000 links 100 hoses (approximatively 10000 demands)

Baseline is OSPF routing Reduction computed as $\frac{OSPF - MCFHose}{OSPF}$ The cost improvement of MCFHose over OSPF is between 80% and 97%

The bandwidth reduction is between 77% and 97%

While MCFHose finds a solution for all the hoses, the baseline presents some capacity violations (between 6 and 110 violated links) as it cannot routing traffic matrices

